

Universal BPS Structure of stationary supergravity solutions

K.S. Stelle

Imperial College London

4th International Sakharov Conference
FIAN, Moscow, 21 May 2009

G. Bossard, H. Nicolai & K.S.S.
0809.5218 and 0902.4438 [hep-th]

Outline

- ▶ Introduction: timelike dimensional reductions
- ▶ Gravitational and vector charges
- ▶ Characteristic equation
- ▶ Supersymmetry 'Dirac equation'
- ▶ BPS Geology
- ▶ Almost Iwasawa decompositions
- ▶ Arithmetic subgroups?
- ▶ Conclusions

Stationary solutions and timelike dimensional reduction

The search for supergravity solutions with assumed Killing symmetries can be recast as a Kaluza-Klein problem. Consider a $D = 4$ theory with a nonlinear bosonic symmetry \bar{G} (e.g. E_7 for maximal $N = 8$ supergravity). Scalar fields take their values in a target space $\bar{\Phi} = \bar{G}/\bar{H}$, where \bar{H} is the corresponding linearly realized subgroup, generally the maximal compact subgroup of \bar{G} (e.g. $SU(8)$ for $N = 8$ SG).

Searching for stationary solutions to such a theory amounts to assuming further that a solution possesses a timelike Killing vector field $\kappa_\mu(x)$.

- We assume that the solution spacetime is asymptotically flat or asymptotically Taub-NUT and that there is a 'radial' function r which is divergent in the asymptotic region, $g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu r \partial_\nu r \sim 1 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})$.
- The Killing vector κ will be assumed to have $W := -g_{\mu\nu} \kappa^\mu \kappa^\nu \sim 1 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})$.

- We assume asymptotic hypersurface orthogonality, $\kappa^\nu(\partial_\mu\kappa_\nu - \partial_\nu\kappa_\mu) \sim \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$.
- In any vielbein frame, the curvature will fall off as $R_{abcd} \sim \mathcal{O}(r^{-3})$.
- Lie derivatives with respect to κ are assumed to vanish on all fields.

The $D = 3$ theory dimensionally reduced with respect to the timelike Killing vector κ will have an Abelian principal bundle structure, with a metric

$$ds^2 = -W(dt + \hat{B}_i dx^i)^2 + W^{-1}\gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j$$

where t is a coordinate adapted to the Killing vector κ and γ is the metric on the 3-dimensional hypersurface Σ_3 at constant t . If the $D = 4$ theory has Abelian vector fields \mathcal{A}_μ , they similarly reduce to $D = 3$ as

$$4\sqrt{4\pi G}\mathcal{A}_\mu dx^\mu = U(dt + \hat{B}_i dx^i) + \hat{A}_i dx^i$$

Comparison to spacelike dimensional reductions

The timelike $D = 3$ reduced theory will have a G/H^* coset space structure similar to the G/H coset space structure of a $D = 3$ theory similarly reduced on a *spacelike* Killing vector. Thus, for a spacelike reduction of maximal supergravity one obtains an $E_8/SO(16)$ theory continuing on in the sequence of dimensional reductions originating in $D = 11$. Julia As for the analogous spacelike reduction, the $D = 3$ theory has the possibility of exchanging $D = 3$ Abelian vector fields for scalars by dualization, contributing to the appearance of an enlarged $D = 3$ bosonic 'duality' symmetry. The resulting $D = 3$ theory contains $D = 3$ gravity coupled to a G/H^* nonlinear sigma model.

- ▶ However, although the numerator group G is the same for a timelike reduction to $D = 3$ as that obtained for a spacelike reduction, the divisor group H^* is a *noncompact* form of the spacelike divisor group H . Breitenlohner, Gibbons & Maison 1988
- ▶ The origin of this $H \rightarrow H^*$ change is the appearance of *negative-sign* kinetic terms for scalars descending from $D = 4$ vectors under the timelike reduction.

Some examples of G/H^* and G/H theories in $D = 3$

G/H	G/H^*	\bar{G}/\bar{H}	3 + 1 dimensional theory
$\frac{SL(n+2)}{SO(n+2)}$	$\frac{SL(n+2)}{SO(n,2)}$	$GL(n)/SO(n)$	$n+4$ dimensional Einstein gravity with n Killing vectors
$\frac{SU(2,1)}{S(U(2) \times U(1))}$	$\frac{SU(2,1)}{S(U(1,1) \times U(1))}$	$U(1)/U(1)$	Einstein-Maxwell ($N=2$ supergravity)
$\frac{SO(8,2)}{SO(8) \times SO(2)}$	$\frac{SO(8,2)}{SO(6,2) \times SO(2)}$	$\frac{SO(6) \times SO(2,1)}{SO(6) \times SO(2)}$	$N=4$ supergravity
$\frac{SO(8,8)}{SO(8) \times SO(8)}$	$\frac{SO(8,8)}{SO(6,2) \times SO(2,6)}$	$\frac{SO(6,6) \times SO(2,1)}{SO(6) \times SO(6) \times SO(2)}$	$N=4$ supergravity + supersym. Maxwell (10 dim. supergravity)
$E_{8(+8)}/SO(16)$	$E_{8(+8)}/SO^*(16)$	$E_{7(+7)}/SU(8)$	$N=8$ supergravity (11 dim. supergravity)

The $D = 3$ classification of extended supergravity stationary solutions *via* timelike reduction generalizes the $D = 3$ supergravity systems obtained from spacelike reduction.

Charges

Define the Komar two-form $K \equiv \partial_\mu \kappa_\nu dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$. This is invariant under the action of the timelike isometry and, by the asymptotic hypersurface orthogonality assumption, is asymptotically horizontal. This condition is equivalent to a requirement that the scalar field B dual to the Kaluza-Klein vector arising by dimensional reduction out of the metric vanish like $\mathcal{O}(r^{-1})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, one can define the Komar mass and NUT charge by (where s^* indicates a pull-back to a section) [Bossard, Nikolai & K.S.S.](#)

$$m \equiv \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} s^* \star K \qquad n \equiv \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} s^* K$$

The Maxwell field also defines charges. Using the Maxwell field equation $d \star \mathcal{F} = 0$, where $\mathcal{F} \equiv \delta\mathcal{L}/\delta F$ is a linear combination of the two-form field strengths F depending on the four-dimensional scalar fields, and using the Bianchi identity $dF = 0$ one obtains conserved electric and magnetic charges

$$q \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} s^* \star \mathcal{F} \qquad p \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} s^* F$$

Now consider these charges from the three-dimensional point of view in order to clarify their transformation properties under the three dimensional duality group G (in a simple Maxwell-Einstein example, $G = \text{SU}(2, 1)$).

The three-dimensional theory is described in terms of a coset representative $\mathcal{V} \in G/H^*$. The Maurer–Cartan form $\mathcal{V}^{-1}d\mathcal{V}$ decomposes as

$$\mathcal{V}^{-1}d\mathcal{V} = Q + P \quad , \quad Q \equiv Q_\mu dx^\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \quad , \quad P \equiv P_\mu dx^\mu \in \mathfrak{g} \ominus \mathfrak{h}^*$$

Then the three-dimensional equations of motion can be rewritten as $d \star \mathcal{V}P\mathcal{V}^{-1} = 0$, so the \mathfrak{g} -valued Noether current is $\star \mathcal{V}P\mathcal{V}^{-1}$.

Since the three-dimensional theory is Euclidean, one cannot properly speak of a conserved charge. Nevertheless, since $\star \mathcal{V}P\mathcal{V}^{-1}$ is d -closed, the integral of this 2-form on a given homology cycle does not depend on the representative of the cycle.

As a result, for stationary solutions, the integral of this three-dimensional current, over any space-like closed surface containing in its interior all the singularities and topologically non-trivial subspaces of a solution, defines a $\mathfrak{g} \ominus \mathfrak{h}^*$ -valued charge matrix \mathcal{C}

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} \star \mathcal{V} P \mathcal{V}^{-1}$$

This transforms in the adjoint representation of G according to the standard non-linear action. For asymptotically flat solutions, \mathcal{V} goes to the identity matrix asymptotically and the charge matrix \mathcal{C} in that case is given by the asymptotic value of the one-form P :

$$P = \mathcal{C} \frac{dr}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$$

Now set up some general notation for the relevant group structure. Let \mathfrak{g}_4 be the algebra of the $D = 4$ symmetry group \bar{G} and let \mathfrak{h}_4 be the algebra of its $D = 4$ divisor group \bar{H} . $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2, 1)$ is the algebra of the Ehlers group (*i.e.* the $D = 3$ duality group of pure $D = 4$ gravity); $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ is the algebra of its divisor group. Let \mathfrak{l}_4 be the \mathfrak{h}_4 representation carried by the electric and magnetic charges q and p . Then \mathcal{C} can be decomposed into three irreducible representations with respect to $\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_4$ according to

$$\mathfrak{g} \ominus \mathfrak{h}^* \cong (\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ominus \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{l}_4 \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_4 \ominus \mathfrak{h}_4)$$

The metric induced by the Cartan-Killing metric of \mathfrak{g} on this coset space is positive definite for the first and last terms, and negative definite for \mathfrak{l}_4 .

One associates the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ominus \mathfrak{so}(2)$ component with the Komar mass and the Komar NUT charge, and one associates the \mathfrak{l}_4 component with the electromagnetic charges. The remaining $\mathfrak{g}_4 \ominus \mathfrak{h}_4$ charges come from the Noether current of the four-dimensional theory.

Characteristic equation

Breitenlohner, Gibbons and Maison proved that if G is simple, all the non-extremal single-black-hole solutions of a given theory lie on the H^* orbit of a Kerr solution. Moreover, all *static* solutions regular outside the horizon with a charge matrix satisfying $\text{Tr } \mathcal{E}^2 > 0$ lie on the H^* -orbit of a Schwarzschild solution. (Turning on and off angular momentum requires consideration of the $D = 2$ duality group generalizing the Geroch A_1^1 group, and will be considered in future work.)

Using Weyl coordinates, the coset representative \mathcal{V} associated to the Schwarzschild solution with mass m can be written in terms of the non-compact generator \mathbf{h} of the Ehlers $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ only, *i.e.*

$$\mathcal{V} = \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r - m}{r + m} \mathbf{h} \right) \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{E} = m\mathbf{h}$$

For the maximal $N = 8$ theory with symmetry $E_{8(8)}$ (and also for the exceptional 'magic' $N = 2$ supergravity [Gunaydin, Sierra & Townsend](#) with symmetry $E_{8(-24)}$), one finds

$$\mathbf{h}^5 = 5\mathbf{h}^3 - 4\mathbf{h}$$

- ▶ Consequently, the charge matrix \mathcal{C} satisfies in all cases

$$\mathcal{C}^5 = 5c^2\mathcal{C}^3 - 4c^4\mathcal{C}$$

where $c^2 \equiv \frac{1}{k} \text{Tr } \mathcal{C}^2$ is the extremality parameter (vanishing for extremal static solutions) and $k \equiv \text{Tr } \mathbf{h}^2 > 0$.

- ▶ Moreover, for all but the two exceptional E_8 cases, a stronger constraint is actually satisfied by the charge matrix \mathcal{C} :

$$\mathcal{C}^3 = c^2\mathcal{C}$$

The characteristic equations select acceptable orbits of solutions, *i.e.* orbits not exclusively containing solutions with naked singularities. They determine \mathcal{C} in terms of the mass and NUT charge and the $D = 4$ electromagnetic charges.

Supersymmetry 'Dirac equation'

Extremal solutions have $c^2 = 0$, implying that the charge matrix \mathcal{C} becomes *nilpotent*: $\mathcal{C}^5 = 0$ in the E_8 cases and $\mathcal{C}^3 = 0$ otherwise.

For \mathcal{N} extended supergravity theories, one finds

$H^* \cong \text{Spin}^*(2\mathcal{N}) \times H_0$ and the charge matrix \mathcal{C} transforms as a Weyl spinor of $\text{Spin}^*(2\mathcal{N})$ valued in a representation of \mathfrak{h}_0 . Define the $\text{Spin}^*(2\mathcal{N})$ fermionic oscillators

$$a_i := \frac{1}{2} \left(\Gamma_{2i-1} + i\Gamma_{2i} \right) \quad a^i \equiv (a_i)^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Gamma_{2i-1} - i\Gamma_{2i} \right)$$

for $i, j, \dots = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}$. These obey standard anticommutation relations

$$\{a_i, a_j\} = \{a^i, a^j\} = 0 \quad , \quad \{a_i, a^j\} = \delta_i^j$$

Using this creation/annihilation oscillator basis, the charge matrix \mathcal{C} can be represented as a state

$$|\mathcal{C}\rangle \equiv \left(w + Z_{ij} a^i a^j + \Sigma_{ijkl} a^i a^j a^k a^l + \dots \right) |0\rangle$$

From the requirement that dilatino fields be left invariant under an unbroken supersymmetry of a BPS solution, one derives a 'Dirac equation' for the charge state vector,

$$\left(\epsilon_{\alpha}^i a_i + \Omega_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_i^{\beta} a^i \right) |\mathcal{C}\rangle = 0$$

where $(\epsilon_{\alpha}^i, \epsilon_i^{\alpha})$ is the asymptotic (for $r \rightarrow \infty$) value of the Killing spinor and $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is a symplectic form on \mathbb{C}^{2n} in cases with n/N preserved supersymmetry.

This condition turns out to be equivalent to the algebraic requirement that \mathcal{C} be a *pure spinor* of $\text{Spin}^*(2\mathcal{N})$. For BPS solutions, it has the consequence that the characteristic equations can be explicitly solved in terms of rational functions.

Note that $c^2 = 0$ is a *weaker* condition than the supersymmetry Dirac equation. Extremal and BPS are not always synonymous conditions, although they coincide for $\mathcal{N} \leq 5$ pure supergravities. They are not synonymous for $\mathcal{N} = 6$ & 8 or for theories with vector matter coupling.

Analysis of the 'Dirac equation' or nilpotency degree of the charge matrix \mathcal{C} leads to a decomposition of the moduli space \mathcal{M} of supergravity solutions into *strata* of various BPS degrees.

Letting \mathcal{M}_0 be the non-BPS stratum, \mathcal{M}_1 being the $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS stratum, etc., the dimensions of the strata for pure supergravity theories turn out to be

	$\mathcal{N} = 2$	$\mathcal{N} = 3$	$\mathcal{N} = 4$	$\mathcal{N} = 5$	$\mathcal{N} = 6$	$\mathcal{N} = 8$
$\dim(\mathcal{M}_0)$	4	8	14	22	34	58
$\dim(\mathcal{M}_1)$	3	7	13	21	33	57
$\dim(\mathcal{M}_2)$			8	16	26	46
$\dim(\mathcal{M}_4)$					17	29

'Almost Iwasawa' decomposition

Earlier analysis of the orbits of the $D = 4$ symmetry groups \bar{G}

Cremmer, Lü, Pope & K.S.S. heavily used the Iwasawa decomposition

$$g = u_{(g,Z)} \exp \left(\ln \lambda_{(g,Z)} \mathbf{z} \right) b_{(g,Z)}$$

with $u_{(g,Z)} \in \bar{H}$ and $b_{(g,Z)} \in \mathfrak{B}_Z$ where $\mathfrak{B}_Z \subset \bar{G}$ is the 'parabolic' (Borel) subgroup that leaves the charges Z invariant up to a multiplicative factor $\lambda_{(g,Z)}$. This multiplicative factor can be compensated for by 'trombone' transformations combining Weyl scalings with compensating dilational coordinate transformations, leading to a formulation of active symmetry transformations that map solutions into other solutions with *unchanged asymptotic values* of the spacetime metric and scalar fields.

- ▶ The $D = 3$ structure is characterized by the fact that the Iwasawa decomposition *breaks down* for noncompact divisor groups H^* .
- ▶ The Iwasawa decomposition does, however work "almost everywhere" in the $D = 3$ solution space. The places where it fails are precisely the extremal suborbits of the duality group.

Arithmetic subgroups?

Since the work of **Hull & Townsend**, there has been a ‘folk’ expectation that all **Cremmer-Julia** type duality symmetries should be reduced to arithmetic subgroups like $E_8(\mathbb{Z})$ as a result of Dirac charge quantization. However, consider the explicit transformations of the pure gravity charge matrix

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} m & n \\ n & -m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$$

yielding

$$m' = \frac{(\alpha^2 - \gamma^2 + \beta^2 - \delta^2)c + (\alpha^2 - \gamma^2 - \beta^2 + \delta^2)m + 2(\alpha\beta - \gamma\delta)n}{\sqrt{2(\alpha^2 + \gamma^2 + \beta^2 + \delta^2) + 2(\alpha^2 + \gamma^2 - \beta^2 - \delta^2)\frac{m}{c} + 4(\alpha\beta + \gamma\delta)}}$$
$$n' = \frac{2(\alpha\gamma + \beta\delta)c + 2(\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta)m + 2(\alpha\delta + \beta\gamma)n}{\sqrt{2(\alpha^2 + \gamma^2 + \beta^2 + \delta^2) + 2(\alpha^2 + \gamma^2 - \beta^2 - \delta^2)\frac{m}{c} + 4(\alpha\beta + \gamma\delta)}}$$

It is very hard to see how such transformations can be discretized in such a way as to preserve a Dirac type quantization rule.

Conclusions

The understanding of duality group orbits for stationary supergravity solutions has been deepened in the following ways.

- ▶ The Noether charge matrix \mathcal{C} satisfies a characteristic equation $\mathcal{C}^5 = 5c^2\mathcal{C}^3 - 4c^4\mathcal{C}$ in the maximal E_8 cases and $\mathcal{C}^3 = c^2\mathcal{C}$ in the non-maximal cases, where $c^2 \equiv \frac{1}{k} \text{Tr } \mathcal{C}^2$ is the extremality parameter.
- ▶ Extremal solutions are characterized by $c^2 = 0$, and \mathcal{C} becomes nilpotent ($\mathcal{C}^5 = 0$ viz. $\mathcal{C}^3 = 0$) on the corresponding suborbits.
- ▶ BPS solutions have a charge matrix \mathcal{C} satisfying an algebraic 'supersymmetry Dirac equation' which encodes the general properties of such solutions. This is a stronger condition than the $c^2 = 0$ extremality condition.
- ▶ The orbits of the $D = 3$ duality group G are not always acted upon transitively by G . This is related to the failure of the Iwasawa decomposition for noncompact divisor groups H^* . The Iwasawa failure set corresponds to the extremal suborbits.