

$\mathcal{N} = 3$ Superfield Formulation of the ABJM and BLG Models

Igor B. Samsonov¹

¹Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia

4-th International Sakharov Conference on Physics, 18 May 2009

References

I.L. Buchbinder, E.A. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, N.G. Pletnev, I.B.S., B.M. Zupnik, JHEP 0903 (2009) 096, arXiv:0811.4774 [hep-th].

Very well known example:

- In the bulk of D3 brane we have four-dimensional gauge theory with 16 supersymmetries $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 4$ Abelian gauge theory.
- Stack of D3 branes $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with gauge group $SU(n)$.
- AdS_5/CFT_4 correspondence: Correlation functions of composite operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM are related to the corresponding functions of the IIB supergravity in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background.

Very well known example:

- In the bulk of D3 brane we have four-dimensional gauge theory with 16 supersymmetries $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 4$ Abelian gauge theory.
- Stack of D3 branes $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with gauge group $SU(n)$.
- AdS_5/CFT_4 correspondence: Correlation functions of composite operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM are related to the corresponding functions of the IIB supergravity in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background.

Analogous recent achievements for M2 brane

- In the bulk of M2 brane lives a three-dimensional superconformal gauge theory with 16 supersymmetries $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 8, d = 3$ Chern-Simons-matter gauge theory, or, Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson (BGL) theory [J. Bagger, N. Lambert, Phys. Rev. D75 (2007) 045020; D77 (2008) 065008; JHEP 0802 (2008) 105; A. Gustavsson, JHEP 0804 (2008) 083; Nucl. Phys. B807 (2009) 315; Nucl. Phys. B811 (2009) 66].
- Stack of M2 branes $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 6, d = 3$ Chern-Simons-matter theory with gauge group $SU(n) \times SU(n)$, or, Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena (ABJM) theory [O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis, J. Maldacena, JHEP 0810 (2008) 091]
- AdS_4/CFT_3 correspondence: Chern-Simons-matter model with $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetry have dual gravitation description in terms of superstrings on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ in low-energy limit.

Definition:

- **ABJM model** is a $d = 3$ $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theory with gauge group $SU(n)_L \times SU(n)_R$.
- **BLG model** is a $d = 3$ $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theory with gauge group $SO(4) = SU(2) \times SU(2)$.

Note: The ABJM model reduces to BLG theory when the gauge group is $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. \Rightarrow BLG model is a particular case of ABJM theory.

Field content:

- **4 complex scalars:** $f^I, \bar{f}_I, I = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (index of $SU(4)$) in bifundamental representation;
- **4 complex spinors:** $\psi_\alpha^I, \bar{\psi}_{I\alpha}, \alpha = 1, 2$ (index of $SU(2)$) in bifundamental representation;
- **2 vector fields:** A_μ^L, A_μ^R in the adjoint representations of $SU(n)_L$ and $SU(n)_R$ respectively.

The ABJM action

$$S^{ABJM} = S_{mat} + S_{CS} + S_{int},$$

$$S_{mat} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \text{tr} \int d^3x (-\nabla^\mu f^I \nabla_\mu \bar{f}_I + i\bar{\psi}_I \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \psi^I),$$

$$S_{CS} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \text{tr} \int d^3x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \left(\frac{1}{2} A_\mu^L \partial_\nu A_\rho^L + \frac{1}{3} A_\mu^L A_\nu^L A_\rho^L - \frac{1}{2} A_\mu^R \partial_\nu A_\rho^R - \frac{1}{3} A_\mu^R A_\nu^R A_\rho^R \right)$$

$$S_{int} \sim \text{tr} \int d^3x (\sum \bar{\psi} \psi \bar{f} f + \sum f \bar{f} f \bar{f} f).$$

$\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetry (on-shell)

$$\delta f^I = -i\epsilon^{[IJ]\alpha} \bar{\psi}_{J\alpha}$$

$$\delta \psi^I = \gamma^\mu \epsilon^{[IJ]} \nabla_\mu \bar{f}_J + \delta_3 \psi, \quad (\delta_3 \psi \sim \epsilon f f f)$$

$$\delta A_\mu^L = \epsilon^{[IJ]} \gamma_\mu \bar{\psi}_I \bar{f}_J - \epsilon_{[IJ]} f^I \psi^J \gamma_\mu$$

$$\delta A_\mu^R = \epsilon^{[IJ]} \bar{f}_I \gamma_\mu \bar{\psi}_J - \epsilon_{[IJ]} \psi^I \gamma_\mu f^J.$$

Here $\nabla_\mu f^I = \partial_\mu f^I + iA_\mu^L f^I - if^I A_\mu^R$, k is the Chern-Simons level.

Our goal:

To develop an unconstrained $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superfield formulation of the ABJM model. Such a formulation should

- make manifest the symmetries of the ABJM theory,
- explain the structure of the scalar potential.

Known superfield formulations of the ABJM theory

- In terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfields: A. Mauri, A.C. Petkou, Phys. Lett. B666 (2008) 527;
- In terms of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields: M. Benna, I. Klebanov, T. Klose, M. Smedback, JHEP 0809 (2008) 027;
- In terms of on-shell $\mathcal{N} = 6$ and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superfields: M. Cederwall, JHEP 0809 (2008) 116, JHEP 0810 (2008) 070; I.A. Bandos, Phys. Lett. B669 (2008) 105.

$\mathcal{N} = 3$ harmonic superspace

Standard $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superspace:

$$\{x_\mu, \theta_\alpha^A\}, \quad A = 1, 2, 3 \text{ (index of } SO(3)).$$

Harmonic $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superspace:

Coordinates:	$\{x^\mu, \theta_\alpha^{++}, \theta_\alpha^{--}, \theta_\alpha^0, u_i^\pm\},$
Harmonics:	$u_i^\pm \in SU(2), \quad u^{+i}u_i^+ = 0, \quad u^{-i}u_i^- = 0, \quad u^{+i}u_i^- = 1.$
Harmonic derivatives:	$\mathcal{D}^{++}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{--}, \quad \mathcal{D}^0 = [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \mathcal{D}^{--}].$
Grassmann derivatives:	$D_\alpha^{++}, \quad D_\alpha^{--}, \quad D_\alpha^0.$

Superfields

① q-hypermultiplet: $q^+(x_\mu, \theta_\alpha^{++}, \theta_\alpha^0, u_i^\pm)$

$$q^+ : \quad \{f^i, \bar{f}_i, \psi_\alpha^i, \bar{\psi}_{i\alpha}\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

② Vector superfield: $V^{++}(x_\mu, \theta_\alpha^{++}, \theta_\alpha^0, u_i^\pm)$

$$V^{++} : \quad \{A_\mu, \phi^{(ij)}, \lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha^{(ij)}\}.$$

For the ABJM model we need:

- 2 hypermultiplet superfields, q^{+a} , $a = 1, 2$
- 2 vector superfield, V_L^{++} , V_R^{++} .

The action in the Abelian case

$$S_{\mathcal{N}=6} = S_{hyp} + S_{gauge},$$

$$S_{hyp} = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \bar{q}^{+a} (\mathcal{D}^{++} + V_L^{++} - V_R^{++}) q_a^+,$$

$$S_{gauge} = S_{CS}[V_L^{++}] - S_{CS}[V_R^{++}], \quad S_{CS}[V^{++}] = \frac{ik}{8\pi} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} V^{++} W^{++}.$$

Here $W^{++} = -\frac{1}{4}(D^{++})^2 V^{--}$ is a superfield strength corresponding to the gauge superfield V^{++} ; V^{--} is expressed through V^{++} from the equation $D^{++}V^{--} = D^{--}V^{++}$.

- No any superfield potential $S_{int} \sim g \int d\zeta^{(-4)} (\bar{q}^{+a} q_a^+)^2$ is admissible!

Symmetries of the action $S_{\mathcal{N}=6}$:

- Manifest $\mathcal{N} = 3$ supersymmetry;
- Hidden $\mathcal{N} = 3$ supersymmetry with parameters $\epsilon^{\alpha(ab)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\epsilon q^{+a} &= i\epsilon^{\alpha(ab)}[\nabla_\alpha^0 + \theta^{--\alpha}(W_L^{++} - W_R^{++})]q_b^+, \\ \delta_\epsilon V_L^{++} &= \delta_\epsilon V_R^{++} = \epsilon^{\alpha(ab)}\theta_\alpha^0 \bar{q}_a^+ q_b^+.\end{aligned}$$

- $SO(6) \simeq SU(4)$ R-symmetry group: The $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ subgroup is manifest while the transformations from the coset $SU(4)/[SU(2) \times SU(2)]$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\lambda q^{+a} &= -i[\lambda^{0(ab)} - \lambda^{++(ab)}\hat{\nabla}^{--} - 2\lambda^{--(ab)}\theta^{++\alpha}\hat{\nabla}_\alpha^0 + 4\lambda^{0(ab)}\theta^{0\alpha}\hat{\nabla}_\alpha^0]q_b^+, \\ \delta_\lambda \bar{q}_a^+ &= -i[\lambda_{(ab)}^0 - \lambda_{(ab)}^{++}\hat{\nabla}^{--} - 2\lambda_{(ab)}^{--}\theta^{++\alpha}\hat{\nabla}_\alpha^0 + 4\lambda_{(ab)}^0\theta^{0\alpha}\hat{\nabla}_\alpha^0]\bar{q}^{+b}, \\ \delta_\lambda V_L^{++} &= \frac{4\pi}{k}\kappa^{ab}q_a^+\bar{q}_b^+, \quad \delta_\lambda V_R^{++} = \frac{4\pi}{k}\kappa^{ab}\bar{q}_a^+q_b^+, \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_{(ab)} = 4\lambda_{(ab)}^{--}(\theta^0\theta^{++}) - 8\lambda_{(ab)}^0(\theta^0)^2$ and $\hat{\nabla}^{--}$ and $\hat{\nabla}_\alpha^0$ are gauge-covariant analyticity-preserving derivatives:

$$\hat{\nabla}_\alpha^0 = \nabla_\alpha^0 + \theta_\alpha^{--}W^{++}, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{--} = \nabla^{--} + 2\theta^{\alpha--}\nabla_\alpha^0 + (\theta^{--})^2W^{++}.$$

ABJM model in $\mathcal{N} = 3$ harmonic superspace

Non-abelian generalization: $V_L^{++} \in SU(n)_L$, $V_R^{++} \in SU(n)_R$
 q^{+a} are in the bifundamental representation,

$$\nabla^{++} q^{+a} = \mathcal{D}^{++} q^{+a} + V_L^{++} q_a^+ - q_a^+ V_R^{++}.$$

non-Abelian $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetric action:

$$S_{\mathcal{N}=6} = S_{hyp} + S_{gauge},$$

$$S_{hyp} = \text{tr} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \bar{q}^{+a} \nabla^{++} q_a^+,$$

$$S_{gauge} = S_{CS}[V_L^{++}] - S_{CS}[V_R^{++}],$$

$$S_{CS}[V^{++}] = \frac{ik}{4\pi} \text{tr} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int d^9 z du_1 \dots du_n \frac{V^{++}(z, u_1) \dots V^{++}(z, u_n)}{(u_1^+ u_2^+) \dots (u_n^+ u_1^+)}.$$

Hidden $\mathcal{N} = 3$ supersymmetry:

$$\delta q^{+a} = i\epsilon^{\alpha(ab)} [\nabla_{\alpha}^0 q_b^+ + \theta_{\alpha}^{--} (W_L^{++} q_b^+ - q_b^+ W_R^{++})],$$

$$\delta V_L^{++} = \epsilon^{\alpha(ab)} \theta_{\alpha}^0 q_a^+ \bar{q}_b^+, \quad \delta V_R^{++} = \epsilon^{\alpha(ab)} \theta_{\alpha}^0 \bar{q}_a^+ q_b^+.$$

Features of the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superfield formulation of the ABJM theory

- No any superfield potential in the model!
- Standard ABJM action is restored upon reduction to component fields.
- The scalar field potential appears solely owing to the elimination of auxiliary fields.
- The $SO(6) \simeq SU(4)$ R-symmetry explicitly demonstrated.
- It is checked that when the gauge group is $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ the supersymmetry is raised up to $\mathcal{N} = 8$, reproducing the BLG model.
- Various generalizations of the ABJM model are analyzed within the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superfield formulation. In particular, the models with the gauge groups $U(m) \times U(n)$, $O(n) \times USp(2m)$ are shown to be admissible for this theory.

Higgs effect: “M2 to D2”

In components: [S. Mukhi, C. Papageorgakis, JHEP 0805 (2008) 085]

- There are 8 scalars f^I , $I = 1, \dots, 8$.
- Give vev to f^8 : $\langle f^8 \rangle = a = \text{const}$.
- One can gauge away this scalar f^8 , leaving only 7 scalars f^i , $i = 1, \dots, 7$.
- The gauge symmetry is partly fixed.
- The corresponding degree of freedom appears as a dynamical vector field:

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_\mu^L, A_\mu^R\} &\longrightarrow A_\mu \\ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}(A_\mu^L \partial_\nu A_\rho^L - A_\mu^R \partial_\nu A_\rho^R) &\longrightarrow F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

- As a result, the $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $d = 3$ SYM theory appears with 7 scalar fields, 8 fermions and 1 gauge superfield.
- In other words, the M2 brane turns into D2 brane.

Abelian Higgs effect in $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superspace

General procedure:

- ① Convert two hypermultiplets q^{+a} into one complex ω -hypermultiplet.
- ② Gauge away the imaginary part of ω , resulting in the real ω -hypermultiplet.
- ③ Give vev to the ω superfield: $\langle \omega \rangle = a$.
- ④ The $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge symmetry is partly fixed to $U(1)$.
- ⑤ Two Chern-Simons vector superfields V_L^{++}, V_R^{++} turn into one dynamical V^{++} .
- ⑥ The resulting action is

$$S = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} [(D^{++}\omega)^2 - \frac{k^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{(a + \omega)^2} W^{++} W^{++}]. \quad (1)$$

- ⑦ As a result, we have SYM action non-minimally interacting with ω -hypermultiplet.

Open problems:

- To study quantum aspects of the ABJM theory in $\mathcal{N} = 3$ harmonic superspace (to construct the low-energy effective action, correlation functions, e.t.c).
- Non-abelian Higgs effect in $\mathcal{N} = 3$ harmonic superspace.
- Are there $\mathcal{N} = 4, 5, 6$ unconstrained superfield formulations of the ABJM theory?