Reciprocity in AdS/CFT

Valentina Forini AEI Potsdam



References:

V.F., M. Beccaria arXiv: 0803.3768, 0901.1256
M. Beccaria, V.F., A. Tirziu, A.A. Tseytlin arXiv: 0809.5234
M. Beccaria, V.F., T. Lukowski, S. Zieme: 0901.4864

4th International Sakharov Conference on Physics, Lebedev Institute, Москва́, May 18-23 2009

Outline

Background & Motivation

► AdS/CFT and integrability, twist operators and their large spin expansion

Reciprocity

- ► At weak coupling
- At strong coupling

The central role of N=4 SYM from string theory to strong interactions



- I. AdS/CFT duality conjecture [Maldacena, 97] type IIB strings on AdS₅xS⁵ $\leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}=4$ Super Yang Mills in d=3+1
 - Agreement of underlying symmetry PSU(2,2|4)
 - Weak/strong coupling duality $\lambda = N g_{YM}^2$, $\sqrt{\lambda} = \frac{R^2}{\alpha'}$, $\frac{4\pi \lambda}{N} = g_S$
 - Prediction $E_{\text{string}} = \Delta_{\text{CFT}}$
 - ▶ Planar limit $N \to \infty \Rightarrow g_s = 0$ free string. Integrability!

II. Superconformal ($\beta = \theta$) vs. confined ($\beta < \theta$), SU(N) vs SU(3), adjoint vs fundam.

The central role of N=4 SYM from string theory to strong interactions



- I. AdS/CFT duality conjecture [Maldacena, 97] type IIB strings on AdS₅xS⁵ $\leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}=4$ Super Yang Mills in d=3+1
 - Agreement of underlying symmetry PSU(2,2|4)
 - Weak/strong coupling duality $\lambda = N g_{YM}^2$, $\sqrt{\lambda} = \frac{R^2}{\alpha'}$, $\frac{4\pi \lambda}{N} = g_S$
 - Prediction $E_{\text{string}} = \Delta_{\text{CFT}}$
 - ▶ Planar limit $N \to \infty \Rightarrow g_s = 0$ free string. Integrability!
- **II**. Superconformal ($\beta = \theta$) vs. confined ($\beta < \theta$), SU(N) vs SU(3), adjoint vs fundam.

RECIPROCITY: Large (Lorentz) spin expansion of scaling dimensions and energies = Mellin-space translation of a reciprocity relation for "splitting functions" $P(x) = -x P(\frac{1}{x})$ (when $x \to 1$)

Integrability

Quantitative understanding of the duality: remarkable boost with *data* from perturbative gauge theory (4-loop in λ) and perturbative string theory (2-loop in $1/\sqrt{\lambda}$).

Framework: *integrable structures* discovered on both sides of AdS/CFT (planar limit!)

Integrable CFT: <u>not</u> in the sense of factorised space-time scattering

[not really "not": <u>Beisert, Gorsky, Lipatov talks</u>]

Observables of the theory: correlation functions of *gauge invariant local composite operators*



$$\mathcal{O} = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{XYZF}_{\mu\nu}\Psi(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\mathcal{Z})...)$$

It is integrable the evolution of the composite operators with the RG scale.

Planar dilatation operator maps to a spin chain $\mathfrak{D}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{D}_0 + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \lambda^{\ell} \mathcal{H}_{integrable}^{(\ell)}$ Hamiltonian, *integrable* = *solvable* via Bethe Ansatz.

Features not exclusive of N=4 SYM! [Lipatov 93, Fadeev, Korchemsky 94, Korchemsky 95] [Belitsky, Braun, Derkachov, Korchesky, Manashov, 98-99] [Belitsky, Gorsky, Korchemsky, 03]

The spectrum of AdS/CFT

Easier with S-matrix: constrained by the global symmetry plus crossing symmetry and string data
All-loop PSU(2,2 | 4) asymptotic Bethe equations.

[Beisert, 05][Janik, 06] [Beisert, Staudacher 05] [Beisert, Eden, Staudacher 06]

[Zarembo talk]

$1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{x_{4,j}^+}{x_{4,j}^-} ,$
$1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_2} \frac{u_{1,k} - u_{2,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}{u_{1,k} - u_{2,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1} \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{1,k}x_{4,j}^{+\eta_1}}{1 - g^2/2x_{1,k}x_{4,j}^{-\eta_1}},$
$1 = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq k}}^{K_2} \frac{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} - i\eta_1}{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} + i\eta_1} \prod_{j=1}^{K_2} \frac{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1} \prod_{j=1}^{K_1} \frac{u_{2,k} - u_{1,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}{u_{2,k} - u_{1,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1},$
$1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_2} \frac{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1} \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{x_{2,k} - x_{4,j}^{+\eta_1}}{x_{2,k} - x_{4,j}^{-\eta_1}},$ Strings!
$1 = \left(\frac{x_{4,k}^-}{x_{4,k}^+}\right)^L \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq k}}^{K_4} \left(\frac{x_{4,k}^{+\eta_1} - x_{4,j}^{-\eta_1}}{x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2} - x_{4,j}^{+\eta_2}} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^+ x_{4,j}^-}{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^- x_{4,j}^+} \sigma^2(x_{4,k}, x_{4,j})\right)$
$\times \prod_{j=1}^{K_1} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{-\eta_1} x_{1,j}}{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{+\eta_1} x_{1,j}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_2} \frac{x_{4,k}^{-\eta_1} - x_{2,j}}{x_{4,k}^{+\eta_1} - x_{2,j}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_5} \frac{x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2} - x_{5,j}}{x_{4,k}^{+\eta_2} - x_{5,j}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_7} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2} x_{7,j}}{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{+\eta_2} x_{7,j}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_7} \frac{x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2} - x_{5,j}}{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{+\eta_2} x_{7,j}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_7} \frac{x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2} - x_{5,j}}{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2} x_{7,j}}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_7} \frac{x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2} - x_{5,j}}}{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2} x_{7,j}}} \prod_{$
$1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{u_{5,k} - u_{6,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}{u_{5,k} - u_{6,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2} \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{x_{5,k} - x_{4,j}^{+\eta_2}}{x_{5,k} - x_{4,j}^{-\eta_2}},$
$1 = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq k}}^{K_6} \frac{u_{6,k} - u_{6,j} - i\eta_2}{u_{6,k} - u_{6,j} + i\eta_2} \prod_{j=1}^{K_5} \frac{u_{6,k} - u_{5,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}{u_{6,k} - u_{5,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2} \prod_{j=1}^{K_7} \frac{u_{6,k} - u_{7,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}{u_{6,k} - u_{7,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2},$
$1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{u_{7,k} - u_{6,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}{u_{7,k} - u_{6,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2} \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{7,k}x_{4,j}^{+\eta_2}}{1 - g^2/2x_{7,k}x_{4,j}^{-\eta_2}},$
$Q_r = \frac{1}{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{K_4} \left(\frac{i}{(x_{4,j}^+)^{r-1}} - \frac{i}{(x_{4,j}^-)^{r-1}} \right), \qquad \delta D = g^2 Q_2 = g^2 \sum_{j=1}^{K_4} \left(\frac{i}{x_{4,j}^+} - \frac{i}{x_{4,j}^-} \right).$

The spectrum of AdS/CFT

Easier with S-matrix: constrained by the <u>global symmetry</u> <u>plus</u> <u>crossing</u> <u>symmetry</u> and <u>string data</u>
All-loop PSU(2,2 | 4) asymptotic Bethe equations.

[Beisert, 05][Janik, 06] [Beisert, Staudacher 05][Beisert, Eden, Staudacher 06]

[Zarembo talk]

CAVEAT: wrapping!



Bethe eqs correct up to $O(\lambda^L)$ (L: length of operators) [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin, 07]

Inspiration from relativistic th. + data from Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz = finite size effects in terms of infinite volume data!

Generalized Lüscher corrections [Bajnok, Janik, Lukowski 08] TBA [Arutyunov Frolov 08,09][S.Frolov talk] Y-system [Kazakov Gromov Vieira 09] Unifying asymptotic and wrapping spectrum [V.Kazakov talk]

$$\begin{split} &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{x_{4,j}^*}{x_{4,j}^*}, \\ &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{u_{1,k} - u_{2,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}{u_{1,k} - u_{2,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1} \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{1,k}x_{4,j}^{+\eta_1}}{1 - g^2/2x_{1,k}x_{4,j}^{+\eta_1}}, \\ &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_5} \frac{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} - i\eta_1}{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} + i\eta_1} \prod_{j=1}^{K_5} \frac{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1} \prod_{j=1}^{K_1} \frac{u_{2,k} - u_{1,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}, \\ &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_5} \frac{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1} \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{x_{2,k} - x_{4,j}^{+\eta_1}}{x_{2,k} - x_{4,j}^{-\eta_1}}, \\ &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_5} \frac{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}{u_{2,k} - u_{2,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1} \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{x_{2,k} - x_{4,j}^{+\eta_1}}{x_{2,k} - x_{4,j}^{-\eta_1}}, \\ &1 = \left(\frac{x_{4,k}}{x_{4,k}^*}\right)^L \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \left(\frac{x_{4,k}^{+\eta_1} - x_{4,j}^{-\eta_1}}{x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2} - x_{4,j}^{+\eta_2}} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{+\eta_2}x_{4,j}}{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{+\eta_2}x_{4,j}}, \\ &1 = \left(\frac{x_{4,k}}{x_{4,k}^*}\right)^L \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \left(\frac{x_{4,k}^{+\eta_1} - x_{4,j}^{-\eta_1}}{x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2} - x_{4,j}^{+\eta_2}} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{-\eta_2}x_{4,j}}{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{+\eta_2}x_{4,j}}, \\ &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{u_{5,k} - u_{6,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}{1 - g^2/2x_{4,k}^{+\eta_1}x_{1,j}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{x_{4,k}^{-\eta_1} - x_{2,j}}{x_{5,k} - x_{4,j}^{-\eta_1}}, \\ &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{u_{6,k} - u_{6,j} - i\eta_2}{u_{6,k} - u_{6,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2} \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{u_{6,k} - u_{5,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}{u_{6,k} - u_{5,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}, \\ &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{u_{6,k} - u_{6,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}{u_{6,k} - u_{6,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2} \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{7,k}x_{4,j}^{+\eta_2}}{u_{6,k} - u_{7,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}, \\ &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{u_{7,k} - u_{6,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}{u_{7,k} - u_{6,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2} \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{7,k}x_{4,j}^{+\eta_2}}{1 - g^2/2x_{7,k}x_{4,j}^{-\eta_2}}, \\ &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{u_{7,k} - u_{6,j} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_2}{u_{7,k} - u_{6,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_2} \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{1 - g^2/2x_{7,k}x_{4,j}^{+\eta_2}}{1 - g^2/2x_{7,k}x_{4,j}^{-\eta_2}}, \\ &1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_6} \frac{u_{7,k} - u_{6,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1}{u_{7,k} - u_{6,j} - \frac{i}{2}\eta_1} \prod$$

The remarkable outcome: cusp anomaly

A spectacular example of *interpolation between weak and strong coupling*.

- QCD: *logarithmic scaling* in leading *twist operators* at large spin $S(\mathbf{x} \rightarrow 1)$ $\gamma(S) = 2\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha) \log S + \mathcal{O}(S^0)$ $\mathcal{O}_S = \bar{q}(\gamma_+ \mathcal{D}^+)^S q$
- N=4 SYM: Twist two operators in sl(2) ⊂ psu(2,2|4) γ(S) = f(λ) log S + O(S⁰)
 D_S = Tr(φD^Sφ)
 Integral equation from the Bethe Ansatz.
 Beisert, Eden, Staudacher 06]
 At weak coupling f(λ) = λ/(2π²) - λ²/(96π²) + 11λ³/(23040π²) - 16((73/(630) + 4ζ₃²)) λ⁴/(4096π⁸) + ...
 ✓ Agreement with MHV 4-point gluon amplitudes of N=4 SYM at four loops log A = f(λ)/(ε²) + ...
 Image: A gradement with MHV 4-point gluon amplitudes of N=4 SYM at four loops log A = f(λ)/(ε²) + ...
 - At strong coupling $f(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \left[1 \frac{3 \ln 2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{K}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^2} + \dots \right]$

[Basso, Korchemsky 07]

STRINGS: Agreement with two loops string calculations !

[Roiban, Tseytlin 07]

Motivation of our work

Large spin expansion **BEYOND the leading order**

 $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}}(S) = f(\lambda) \log S + B(\lambda) + \dots$

First subleading order

► Relation between *B* and
$$g(\lambda)$$
 $\log \mathcal{A} = \frac{f(\lambda)}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{g(\lambda)}{\epsilon} + \dots$ [Dixon, Magnea, Sterman 08]
confirmed at strong coupling! [Alday 09]
► Integral equation for *B* [Freyhult, Zieme 09]

Motivation of our work

Large spin expansion **BEYOND the leading order**

 $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}}(S) = f(\lambda) \, \log S + B(\lambda) + \dots$

First subleading order

► Relation between *B* and $g(\lambda)$ $\log \mathcal{A} = \frac{f(\lambda)}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{g(\lambda)}{\epsilon} + \dots$ [Dixon, Magnea, Sterman 08] confirmed at strong coupling! [Alday 09]

► Integral equation for *B*

[Freyhult, Zieme 09]

Further subleading orders

need closed formulas as functions of the spin S

Most powerful tool: Bethe equations (+ Lüscher corrections)
 Solvable only numerically with QCD-inspired Ansätze ...

N=4 SYM and QCD interplay: I

Maximum Transcendentality Principle (MTP)

The N=4 (*universal*) *twist two anomalous dimension at* n *loops is a linear combination of harmonic sums of transcendentality* 2n - 1.

[Kotikov, Lipatov, Onishchenko, Velizhanin, 04]

$\gamma^{(1)}(S) = \sum_{ \tau =1} c_{\tau} S_{\tau}(S) = c S_1(S)$	(Nested) harmonic sums
$\gamma^{(2)}(S) = \sum_{ \tau =3}^{ \tau =1} c_{\tau} S_{\tau}(S)$	$S_a(S) = \sum_{n=1}^{S} \frac{(\operatorname{sign}(a))^n}{n^{ a }}$
$\gamma^{(3)}(S) = \sum_{ \tau =5} c_{\tau} S_{\tau}(S)$	$S_{a,\mathbf{b}}(S) = \sum_{n=1}^{n-1} \frac{(\operatorname{sign}(a))^n}{n^{ a }} S_{\mathbf{b}}(n)$

Three-loop twist two anomalous dimension extracted from the "most complicated terms" of the QCD result of [Moch, Vermaresen, Vogt, 04] with $C_F = C_A = N_C$

► MTP confirmed in [Kotikov, Rej, Zieme, 08] and generalized in [Beccaria, Forini 08]

N=4 SYM and QCD interplay: I

Maximum Transcendentality Principle (MTP)

The N=4 (*universal*) *twist two anomalous dimension at* n *loops is a linear combination of harmonic sums of transcendentality* 2n - 1.

[Kotikov, Lipatov, Onishchenko, Velizhanin, 04]

$\gamma^{(1)}(S) = \sum_{ \tau =1} c_{\tau} S_{\tau}(S) = c S_1(S)$	(Nested) harmonic sums
$\gamma^{(2)}(S) = \sum_{ \tau =3}^{ \tau =1} c_{\tau} S_{\tau}(S)$	$S_a(S) = \sum_{n=1}^{S} \frac{(\operatorname{sign}(a))^n}{n^{ a }}$
$\gamma^{(3)}(S) = \sum_{ \tau =5} c_{\tau} S_{\tau}(S)$	$S_{a,\mathbf{b}}(S) = \sum_{n=1}^{n-1} \frac{(\text{sign}(a))^n}{n^{ a }} S_{\mathbf{b}}(n)$

Three-loop twist two anomalous dimension extracted from the "most complicated terms" of the QCD result of [Moch, Vermaresen, Vogt, 04] with $C_F=C_A=N_C$

► MTP confirmed in [Kotikov, Rej, Zieme, 08] and generalized in [Beccaria, Forini 08]

N=4 SYM and QCD interplay: II $x \rightarrow 0$, *negative* spin *S* (BFKL equation)



Prediction for poles arising for negative values of the spin [Balitsky, Fadin, Kuraev, Lipatov 77]

Breakdown of the Bethe eqs. at four loops [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin, 07]
 Correctness of the full twist two anomalous dimension! [Bajnok, Janik Lukowsky, 08]

Large spin expansion of closed formulas *Experiments*

Ex.1 One-loop anomalous dimension (N=4 SYM twist two)

$$\gamma_1(S) = 8 S_1(S) = 8 \ln \bar{S} + \frac{4}{S} - \frac{3}{2S^2} + \frac{1}{15S^4} - \frac{2}{63S^6}, \qquad \bar{S} = S + \gamma_E$$

Express in terms of $C^2 = S(S+1)$
 $\gamma_1(C) \sim 8 \ln C + \frac{4}{3C^2} - \frac{4}{15C^4} + \frac{32}{315C^6} + \dots$ Only even powers of C^{-1}

Ex.2 Two-loops

$$\gamma_2(S) = -16 \left[S_3 + S_{-3} - 2S_{-2,1} + 2S_1(S_2 + S_{-2}) \right]$$

$$\sim -\frac{8}{3}\pi^2 \ln S - 24\zeta_3 + \frac{1}{S} \left(32\ln S - \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \right) + \dots$$

All powers of C^{-1} , but odd powers of S^{-1} are not independent!

Large spin expansion of closed formulas *Experiments*

Ex.1 One-loop anomalous dimension (N=4 SYM twist two)

$$\gamma_1(S) = 8 S_1(S) = 8 \ln \bar{S} + \frac{4}{S} - \frac{3}{2S^2} + \frac{1}{15S^4} - \frac{2}{63S^6}, \qquad \bar{S} = S + \gamma_E$$

Express in terms of $C^2 = S(S+1)$
 $\gamma_1(C) \sim 8 \ln C + \frac{4}{3C^2} - \frac{4}{15C^4} + \frac{32}{315C^6} + \dots$ Only even powers of C^{-1}

Ex.2 Two-loops $\gamma_2(S) = -16 \Big[S_3 + S_{-3} - 2S_{-2,1} + 2S_1(S_2 + S_{-2}) \Big]$ $\sim -\frac{8}{3}\pi^2 \ln S - 24\zeta_3 + \frac{1}{S} \Big(32 \ln S - \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \Big) + \dots$ All powers of C^{-1} , but odd powers of S^{-1} are not independent!

• QCD twist operators up to three loops [Moch, Vermaresen, Vogt, 04]

$$\gamma(S) = A \log S + B + C \frac{\log S}{S} + ...$$

 $C = \frac{A^2}{2} \longrightarrow$ Non trivial! A, B, C depend on the coupling!

Generalized Reciprocity in (QCD and) N=4 SYM

Rephrase the large *S* expansion of γ in terms of another function f

$$\gamma = f\left(S + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right)$$

the *evidence* is that f has a (large S) *parity invariant* expansion of the form

$$f(S) = \sum_{n} \frac{a_n(\ln C)}{C^{2n}}$$
 $C^2 = S(S+1)$

Generalized Reciprocity in (QCD and) N=4 SYM

Rephrase the large *S* expansion of γ in terms of another function f

$$\gamma = f\left(S + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right)$$

the *evidence* is that f has a (large S) *parity invariant* expansion of the form

$$f(S) = \sum_{n} \frac{a_n(\ln C)}{C^{2n}}$$
 $C^2 = S(S+1)$

In Mellin space, *parity invariance* becomes

$$F(x) = -x F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$
 where $f(x) = \int_0^1 dx \, x^{S-1} F(x)$

or a *generalized* (*Gribov-Lipatov*) <u>reciprocity</u>.

Original GL reciprocity formulated for splitting functions.[Gribov, Lipatov, 72]Broken in QCD beyond leading order.[Curci, Furmansky, Petronzio, 80]

Generalized Reciprocity in (QCD and) N=4 SYM

Rephrase the large S expansion of γ in terms of another function f $\gamma = f\left(S + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right)^{\bigstar}$

the *evidence* is that f has a (large S) *parity invariant* expansion of the form

$$f(S) = \sum_{n} \frac{a_n(\ln C)}{C^{2n}}$$
 $C^2 = S(S+1)$

In Mellin space, *parity invariance* becomes

$$F(x) = -x F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$
 where $f(x) = \int_0^1 dx \, x^{S-1} F(x)$

or a *generalized* (*Gribov-Lipatov*) <u>reciprocity</u>.

In QCD $\gamma(S) = f(S + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(S) + \frac{1}{2}\beta)$

Original GL reciprocity formulated for splitting functions.[Gribov, Lipatov, 72]Broken in QCD beyond leading order.[Curci, Furmansky, Petronzio, 80]

[Basso, Korchemsky 06]

Interpretation I: revisiting parton evolution

► The *DGLAP evolution equations* for the parton distribution function

$$\frac{df_{\sigma}(x,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2} = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{\sigma}(z,\alpha_s) f_{\sigma}\left(\frac{x}{z},Q^2\right) \qquad \qquad \sigma = S,T \quad \text{space-like (DIS)}$$
time-like (e⁺e⁻ ann)

or, in Mellin space,

$$\frac{df_{\sigma}(S,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\sigma}(S,\alpha_s(Q^2)) \ f_{\sigma}(S,Q^2) \qquad \gamma_{\sigma}(S,Q^2) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \ x^S \ P_{\sigma}(x,\alpha_s(Q^2))$$

Interpretation I: revisiting parton evolution

► The *DGLAP evolution equations* for the parton distribution function

$$\frac{df_{\sigma}(x,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2} = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{\sigma}(z,\alpha_s) f_{\sigma}\left(\frac{x}{z},Q^2\right) \qquad \qquad \sigma = S,T \quad \text{space-like (DIS)} \text{time-like (e^+e^-ann)}$$

or, in Mellin space,

$$\frac{df_{\sigma}(S,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\sigma}(S,\alpha_s(Q^2)) \ f_{\sigma}(S,Q^2) \qquad \gamma_{\sigma}(S,Q^2) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \ x^S \ P_{\sigma}(x,\alpha_s(Q^2))$$

Proposal: Reciprocity Respecting Evolution Equations

[Dokshitzer, Marchesini, Salam, 05]

$$\frac{df_{\sigma}(x,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2} = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \mathcal{P}(z,\alpha_s) f_{\sigma}\left(\frac{x}{z}, z^{\sigma}Q^2\right) \qquad \qquad \sigma = \mp$$

with a universal kernel \mathcal{P} (identical in for space-like and time-like evolution) [Gribov, Lipatov, 72]

Interpretation I: revisiting parton evolution

► The *DGLAP evolution equations* for the parton distribution function

$$\frac{df_{\sigma}(x,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2} = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{\sigma}(z,\alpha_s) f_{\sigma}\left(\frac{x}{z},Q^2\right) \qquad \qquad \sigma = S,T \quad \text{space-like (DIS)}$$
time-like (e⁺e⁻ ann)

or, in Mellin space,

$$\frac{df_{\sigma}(S,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\sigma}(S,\alpha_s(Q^2)) \ f_{\sigma}(S,Q^2) \qquad \gamma_{\sigma}(S,Q^2) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \ x^S \ P_{\sigma}(x,\alpha_s(Q^2))$$

Proposal: Reciprocity Respecting Evolution Equations

[Dokshitzer, Marchesini, Salam, 05]

$$\frac{df_{\sigma}(x,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2} = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \mathcal{P}(z,\alpha_s) f_{\sigma}\left(\frac{x}{z}, z^{\sigma}Q^2\right) \qquad \qquad \sigma = \mp$$

with a universal kernel \mathcal{P} (identical in for space-like and time-like evolution) [Gribov, Lipatov, 72]

Evolution is now solved by the *non-linear relation*

$$\gamma_{\sigma} = \mathcal{P}\left(S - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\gamma_{\sigma}(S)\right)$$

Assuming $\mathcal{P}(x) = -x \mathcal{P}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ MVV relations satisfied ! (up to a term..)

Intepretation II: conformal symmetry

Operators $\mathcal{O} = \text{Tr}\{D_+^{\kappa_1}X...D_+^{\kappa_J}X\}$: reprs of the *collinear SL*(2; *R*) *subgroup* of SO(2, 4) [Ohrndorf 82]

Different SL(2; R) multiplets cannot mix under renormalization: their anomalous dimension depends on the *conformal SL(2; R) spin s*

 $s = \frac{(S + \Delta)}{2}$ S = Lorentz spin $\Delta = \text{scaling dimension}$

The scaling dimension receives anomalous contribution due to interaction

 $\Delta(\lambda) = S + J + \gamma_{\lambda}(S)$

 \Rightarrow the conformal spin gets modified in higher loops (e.g. X = scalars) [Belitsky Mueller 98]

$$s(\lambda = 0) = S + \frac{J}{2} \longrightarrow s(\lambda) = S + \frac{J}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(S)$$

or: The anomalous dimension is a (twist-dep) function of the conformal spin

$$\gamma(S) = f\left(S + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(S)\right)$$

In QCD $\gamma(S) = f(S + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(S) + \frac{1}{2}\beta)$

The evidence of reciprocity

The *parity invariance (reciprocity respecting* RR) of f $f(S) = \sum_{n} \frac{a_{n}(\ln C)}{C^{2n}}$ is verified for a large class of operators $\mathcal{O} = \operatorname{Tr}\{D^{k_{1}}X...D^{k_{J}}X\}$ $k_{1} + ... + k_{J} = S$ with C^{2} the *Casimir of* $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset SO(4,2)$ $C^{2} = (S + J\ell)(S + J\ell - 1)$ J: twist $\ell:$ $\frac{\varphi \quad \lambda \quad A}{\frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{3}{2}}$

- ✓ All twist-2 anomalous dimensions in QCD and $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM (3 loops) [Basso, Korchemsky 06]
- ✓ Twist-2 at four loops (*including wrapping*)

[Beccaria Forini 09]

✓ Twist-3 scalars, gauginos, gluons in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

[Beccaria, Marchesini, Dokshitzer 07] [Beccaria 07] [Beccaria Forini 08]

[Notice: for twist-J > 2, anomalous dimensions, as functions of S, occupy a *band*! Reciprocity has been only detected for the *minimal energy*, lower edge of the band]

Reciprocity and AdS/CFT?

Anomalous dimensions of operators in N=4 SYM



Energies of semiclassical strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Bosonic AdS₅×S⁵ σ -model $I = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \int d\tau d\sigma G_{\mu\nu}(X) \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial^a X^{\nu} + ...$ states belonging to reprs of *SO*(2, 4) x *SO*(6) \Rightarrow Classified by (*E*, *S*₁, *S*₂; *J*₁, *J*₂, *J*₃).

▶ Operators with large Lorentz spin and minimal energy: *folded* strings rotating in AdS₃

$$E=S+rac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\lograc{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}}+...$$
 $S\gg\sqrt{\lambda}$ [Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov 02]

• Classical energy $E = \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{E}(\omega)$ and classical spins $S = \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{S}(\omega)$, $J = \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{J}(\omega)$ The energy organizes in the semiclassical expansion

$$E = \sqrt{\lambda} \left[E_0 + \frac{E_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{E_2}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^2} + \dots \right]$$

Logarithmic behavior confirmed at one and two loops

[Frolov, Tseytlin 02] [Roiban, Tseytlin 07]

Folded string in AdS₃: I

S⁵ momentum J ignored \leftrightarrow twist of the operator *small* compared to Lorentz spin.

• Ansatz for a <u>stationary</u> solution rotated and boosted $(0 \le \rho(\sigma) \le \rho_0)$

$$\rho = \rho(\sigma) \qquad t = \kappa \tau \qquad \phi = \omega \tau \qquad \operatorname{coth}^2 \rho_0 = 1 + \eta$$

• Exact solution
$$\sinh \rho = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}} \sin \left[\kappa \sqrt{\eta} \ \sigma, -\frac{1}{\eta} \right], \qquad 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{\pi}{2}$$

► Integrals of motion: <u>energy and spin</u>

$$E = P_t = \sqrt{\lambda} \kappa \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \cosh^2 \rho \equiv \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{E} \qquad S = P_\phi = \sqrt{\lambda} \omega \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \sinh^2 \rho \equiv \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{S}$$

In parametric form

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{\eta}} \mathbb{E}\left(-\frac{1}{\eta}\right) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{S} = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{\eta}} \left[\mathbb{E}\left(-\frac{1}{\eta}\right) - \mathbb{K}\left(-\frac{1}{\eta}\right)\right]$$

• In the "long string" limit $\eta \to 0$

$$\checkmark Structure! \qquad \qquad \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S} + \frac{\ln \bar{\mathcal{S}} - 1}{\pi} + \frac{\ln \bar{\mathcal{S}} - 1}{2\pi^2 \mathcal{S}} - \frac{2\ln^2 \bar{\mathcal{S}} - 9\ln \bar{\mathcal{S}} + 5}{16\pi^3 \mathcal{S}^2} + \dots \qquad \bar{\mathcal{S}} \equiv 8\pi \mathcal{S}$$

✓ *Reciprocity!* the function f runs in even negative powers of the Casimir $C \equiv S$

$$\tilde{f}(\mathcal{S}) \equiv \frac{f}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\ln \bar{\mathcal{S}} - 1 + \frac{\ln \mathcal{S} + 1}{16\pi^2 \mathcal{S}^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{S}^4}\right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}})$$

Folded string in AdS₃ x S¹

- Add $J = \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{J}$ and consider the limit $\mathcal{S} \gg \mathcal{J}$
- "Slow" long strings $\mathcal{J} \ll \ln \mathcal{S}$

Structure

$$\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{J} \approx \frac{1}{\pi} (\ln \bar{\mathcal{S}} - 1) + \frac{\pi \mathcal{J}^2}{2 \ln \bar{\mathcal{S}}} - \frac{\pi^3 \mathcal{J}^4}{8 \ln^3 \bar{\mathcal{S}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln \bar{\mathcal{S}}}\right) + \dots + \frac{4}{\bar{\mathcal{S}}} \left[\frac{1}{\pi} (\ln \bar{\mathcal{S}} - 1) + \frac{\pi \mathcal{J}^2}{2 \ln^2 \bar{\mathcal{S}}} - \frac{3\pi^3 \mathcal{J}^4}{4 \ln^4 \bar{\mathcal{S}}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{3 \ln \bar{\mathcal{S}}}\right) + \dots \right] + \dots$$

✓ *Reciprocity!* f runs in even negative powers of the Casimir $C \equiv S + \frac{1}{2}J$

• "Fast" long strings $\ln S \ll \mathcal{J} \ll S$: again reciprocity respecting.

Spiky strings vs. higher twist on excited trajectories

Rigidly rotating , *n* cusps or spikes.

Same asymptotic log BUT proportional to # spikes $n > 2 \Rightarrow$ higher energy for given spin

$$\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{S} = \frac{n}{2\pi} \ln \frac{16\pi \mathcal{S}}{n} + \dots$$



[Belitsky, Gorsky, Korchemsky, 03] [Kruczenski 04] [Dorey 07]

Beyond the leading large spin limit: the ends of the spikes do not approach the bndry

✓ Reciprocity: NO!
$$\tilde{f}(S) = \frac{n}{2\pi} \left[\ln \bar{S} + q_1 + \frac{q_2}{\bar{S}} + ... \right]$$

Spiky strings vs. higher twist on excited trajectories

Rigidly rotating , *n* cusps or spikes.

Same asymptotic log BUT proportional to # spikes $n > 2 \Rightarrow$ higher energy for given spin

$$\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{S} = \frac{n}{2\pi} \ln \frac{16\pi \mathcal{S}}{n} + \dots$$



[Belitsky, Gorsky, Korchemsky, 03] [Kruczenski 04] [Dorey 07]

Beyond the leading large spin limit: the ends of the spikes do not approach the bndry

Reciprotive: NO! $\tilde{f}(S) = \frac{n}{2\pi} \Big[\ln \bar{S} + q_1 + \frac{q_2}{\bar{S}} + ... \Big]$ **However** $\mathcal{E} - S = \frac{n}{2\pi} \ln S + \frac{n^2}{8\pi^2 S} \ln S - \frac{n^3}{64\pi^3 S^2} \ln^2 S + ...$ is consistent with the functional relation

$$E - S = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{2\pi} \ln \left(S + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{2\pi} \ln S + \dots \right) + \dots \qquad E - S = f\left(S + \frac{1}{2} (E - S) \right)$$

✓ Exactly as it happens at weak coupling! [Belitsky, Korchemsky, Pasechnik 08]
 For corresponding to *higher twist J=n* operators with *non minimal* anomalous dim.

String perturbation theory: I

With the 1-loop corrections included: the structure of the large spin expansion remains the same? are the "MVV" constraints still satisfied?

Gauge and string perturbative expansions are different!

Gauge theory	$\lambda \ll 1$	S = fixed and then	$S \gg 1$
String theory	$\sqrt{\lambda} \gg 1$	$\frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \text{ fixed and then}$	$\frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \gg 1$

String perturbation theory: I

With the 1-loop corrections included: the structure of the large spin expansion remains the same? are the "MVV" constraints still satisfied?

Gauge and string perturbative expansions are different!

Gauge theory	$\lambda \ll 1$	S = fixed and then	$S\gg 1$
String theory	$\sqrt{\lambda} \gg 1$	$\frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \text{ fixed and then}$	$\frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \gg 1$

Standard procedure for leading (1 loop) quantum corrections - folded string

Fluctuation lagrangean \tilde{L} \longrightarrow 2-d Effective action Γ_1

$$\stackrel{\text{Euclidean}}{\longrightarrow} \quad E_1 = \frac{\Gamma_1}{\kappa \mathcal{T}} \ , \qquad \mathcal{T} \equiv \int d\tau \to \infty$$

String perturbation theory II: details

[Foerste, Ghoshal, Theisen 00] [Drukker, Gross, Tseytlin 00] [Frolov, Tseytlin 02]

► 1-loop correction to the effective action (conformal gauge)

$$\Gamma_{1} = \frac{\mathcal{T}}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[-8\ln \frac{\det[-\partial_{1}^{2} + \omega^{2} + \rho'^{2}]}{\det[-\partial_{1}^{2} + \omega^{2} + \kappa_{0}^{2}]} + 2\ln \frac{\det[-\partial_{1}^{2} + \omega^{2} + 2\rho'^{2}]}{\det[-\partial_{1}^{2} + \omega^{2} + 2\kappa_{0}^{2}]} - \ln \frac{\det^{8}[-\partial_{1}^{2} + \omega^{2} + \kappa_{0}^{2}]}{\det^{2}[-\partial_{1}^{2} + \omega^{2} + 2\kappa_{0}^{2}] \det^{6}[-\partial_{1}^{2} + \omega^{2}]} + \ln \frac{\det Q_{\omega}}{\det Q_{\omega}^{(0)}} - \ln \frac{\det P_{\omega}}{\det Q_{\omega}^{(0)}}\right]$$

 Q_{ω} : quadratic fluctuation operator $Q_{\omega} = Q_{\omega}^{(0)} + \eta Q_{\omega}^{(1)} + \dots$

• We calculate the $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$ correction $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1^{(0)} + \eta \Gamma_1^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}(\eta^2)$

Order zero contribution (constant mass relativistic fields on the cilinder!)

$$\Gamma_1^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[2\sqrt{n^2 + 2\kappa_0^2} + \sqrt{n^2 + 4\kappa_0^2} + 5\sqrt{n^2} - 8\sqrt{n^2 + \kappa_0^2} \right]$$
 [Frolov, Tseytlin 02]

Order eta contribution

$$\Gamma_1^{(1)} = -\frac{\mathcal{T}\eta}{4\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{8\kappa_0}{\sqrt{n^2 + \kappa_0^2}} - \frac{4\kappa_0}{\sqrt{n^2 + 2\kappa_0^2}} - \frac{4\kappa_0}{\sqrt{n^2 + 4\kappa_0^2}} \right]$$

String perturbation theory: III Final result

► The 1-loop correction to the energy up to order 1/*S* is

$$E_{1} = b_{0} \ln \mathcal{S} + b_{c} + \frac{b_{11} \ln \mathcal{S} + b_{10}}{\mathcal{S}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln^{2} \mathcal{S}}{\mathcal{S}^{2}}\right)$$

$$b_{0} = -\frac{3 \ln 2}{\pi}, \qquad b_{c} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(-3 \ln 2 \ln 8\pi + c\right), \qquad b_{11} = -\frac{3 \ln 2}{\pi^{2}}, \qquad b_{10} = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} \left[-6 \ln 2 \left(\ln 8\pi - \frac{1}{2}\right) + c\right]$$

✓ The structure is identical to the one at weak coupling!
 Despite the different order of limits...

✓ *Coefficients* in the large S expansion related by reciprocity!

$$b_{11} = a_0 b_0$$
, $b_{10} = \frac{1}{2}(a_0 b_c + b_0 a_c)$

where $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{S} = a_0 \ln \mathcal{S} + a_c + \dots$ is the expansion of the classical part.

Strong indication that reciprocity holds also at strong coupling

Uses of reciprocity you can't explain it...just assume it!

- ► **Guiding principle** for further orders (string sigma model) calculations
- ► **Tool** that drastically simplify calculations of multiloop anomalous dimensions Example: twist three at 5 loops. $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{D}^{s_1}Z \mathcal{D}^{s_2}Z \mathcal{D}^{s_3}Z)$ with $S = s_1 + s_2 + s_3$

Maximum Transcendentality Principle: 256 terms! Numerically challenging

1. Look for a reciprocity respecting basis of (complementary) harmonic sums

Map:
$$\underline{\omega}_{a}(\underline{S}_{b,\mathbf{c}}) = \underline{S}_{a,b,\mathbf{c}} - \frac{1}{2} \underline{S}_{a+b,\mathbf{c}}.$$

Combinations: $\underline{\Omega}_{a_{1},...,a_{d}} = \underline{\omega}_{a_{1}}(\Omega_{a_{2},...,a_{d}})$
[Beccaria, VF 09]

- 2. Write the MTP ansatz in terms of the RR basis $(-1)^{a_1+\ldots+a_d} = (-1)^d$
- 3. List of values from Bethe Ansatz (<u>now</u> an over-determined set of equations!)
- 4. Evaluate wrapping corrections from Luescher formulas

asymp $= 136S_9 + 368S_{1,8} + 2832S_{2,7} + 4272S_{3,6} + 848S_{4,5} - 3024S_{5,4} - 2736S_{6,3} - 1168S_{7,2}$ $-496S_{8,1} - 5376S_{1,1,7} - 12352S_{1,2,6} - 8832S_{1,3,5} + 1600S_{1,4,4} + 3968S_{1,5,3} - 64S_{1,6,2}$ $-1344S_{1,7,1} - 12352S_{2,1,6} - 13760S_{2,2,5} - 2112S_{2,3,4} + 4288S_{2,4,3} - 960S_{2,5,2} - 5440S_{2,6,1} - 13760S_{2,2,5} - 2112S_{2,3,4} - 4288S_{2,4,3} - 960S_{2,5,2} - 5440S_{2,6,1} - 1388S_{2,4,3} - 960S_{2,5,2} - 5440S_{2,5,2} - 588S_{2,4,3} - 960S_{2,5,2} - 588S_{2,5,2} -9088S_{3,1,5} - 2432S_{3,2,4} + 5120S_{3,3,3} + 2688S_{3,4,2} - 4160S_{3,5,1} + 1280S_{4,1,4} + 5824S_{4,2,3} + 5824S_{4,2,3} - 5824S_{4,2,3} - 5824S_{4,3,4} - 5824S_{4,3,3} - 5824S_{4,3,4} - 5824S_{4,4,4} - 5824S_{4,4,$ $+6400S_{4,3,2} + 2112S_{4,4,1} + 5120S_{5,1,3} + 6208S_{5,2,2} + 5312S_{5,3,1} + 3904S_{6,1,2} + 3904S_{6,2,1} + 5120S_{5,2,2} + 5312S_{5,3,1} + 3904S_{6,2,2} + 5312S_{5,3,1} + 5120S_{5,2,2} + 5312S_{5,3,1} + 5304S_{6,2,2} + 5312S_{5,3,2} + 5312S_{5,3,$ $+1728S_{7,1,1} + 21504S_{1,1,1,6} + 22784S_{1,1,2,5} + 5632S_{1,1,3,4} - 1280S_{1,1,4,3} + 6912S_{1,1,5,2}$ $+11520S_{1,1,6,1} + 22784S_{1,2,1,5} + 9088S_{1,2,2,4} - 1024S_{1,2,3,3} + 6784S_{1,2,4,2} + 17152S_{1,2,5,1}$ $+5504S_{1,3,1,4} - 3456S_{1,3,2,3} - 1536S_{1,3,3,2} + 7680S_{1,3,4,1} - 4480S_{1,4,1,3} - 6272S_{1,4,2,2}$ $-3584S_{1,4,3,1} - 3840S_{1,5,1,2} - 3840S_{1,5,2,1} + 768S_{1,6,1,1} + 22784S_{2,1,1,5} + 9088S_{2,1,2,4}$ $-1024S_{2,1,3,3} + 6784S_{2,1,4,2} + 17152S_{2,1,5,1} + 9088S_{2,2,1,4} - 2688S_{2,2,2,3} + 640S_{2,2,3,2}$ $+13440S_{2,2,4,1} - 3456S_{2,3,1,3} - 7040S_{2,3,2,2} - 768S_{2,3,3,1} - 4480S_{2,4,1,2} - 4480S_{2,4,2,1}$ $+2816S_{2,5,1,1}+6272S_{3,1,1,4}-2944S_{3,1,2,3}-1536S_{3,1,3,2}+7936S_{3,1,4,1}-2944S_{3,2,1,3}$ $-7296S_{3,2,2,2} - 768S_{3,2,3,1} - 6656S_{3,3,1,2} - 6656S_{3,3,2,1} - 1024S_{3,4,1,1} - 3968S_{4,1,1,3} - 6656S_{3,3,2,1,2} - 6656S_{3,3,2,2} - 6656S_{3,3,2,2} - 6656S_{3,3,2,2} - 6656S_{3,3,2,2} - 6656$ $-6528S_{4,1,2,2} - 3584S_{4,1,3,1} - 6528S_{4,2,1,2} - 6528S_{4,2,2,1} - 4864S_{4,3,1,1} - 5376S_{5,1,1,2}$ $-5376S_{5,1,2,1} - 5376S_{5,2,1,1} - 4608S_{6,1,1,1} - 32768S_{1,1,1,1,5} - 10240S_{1,1,1,2,4} - 3072S_{1,1,1,3,3} - 3072S_{1,1,1,2,4} - 3072S_{1,1,$ $-17920S_{1,1,1,4,2} - 30720S_{1,1,1,5,1} - 10240S_{1,1,2,1,4} - 8704S_{1,1,2,3,2} - 24064S_{1,1,2,4,1}$ $+1024S_{1,1,3,1,3} + 2560S_{1,1,3,2,2} - 4096S_{1,1,3,3,1} - 512S_{1,1,4,1,2} - 512S_{1,1,4,2,1} - 10240S_{1,1,5,1,1}$ $-10240S_{1,2,1,1,4} - 8704S_{1,2,1,3,2} - 24064S_{1,2,1,4,1} + 3072S_{1,2,2,2,2} - 6656S_{1,2,2,3,1}$ $+512S_{1,2,3,1,2} + 512S_{1,2,3,2,1} - 10752S_{1,2,4,1,1} + 1024S_{1,3,1,1,3} + 3072S_{1,3,1,2,2} - 3584S_{1,3,1,3,1} + 1024S_{1,3,1,3,1} + 1024$ $+3072S_{1,3,2,1,2}+3072S_{1,3,2,2,1}-2560S_{1,3,3,1,1}+3072S_{1,4,1,1,2}+3072S_{1,4,1,2,1}+3072S_{1,4,2,1,1}$ $+3072S_{1,5,1,1,1} - 10240S_{2,1,1,1,4} - 8704S_{2,1,1,3,2} - 24064S_{2,1,1,4,1} + 3072S_{2,1,2,2,2}$ $-6656S_{2,1,2,3,1} + 512S_{2,1,3,1,2} + 512S_{2,1,3,2,1} - 10752S_{2,1,4,1,1} + 3072S_{2,2,1,2,2} - 6656S_{2,2,1,3,1} - 10752S_{2,1,4,1,1} + 3072S_{2,2,1,2,2} - 6656S_{2,2,1,3,1} - 10752S_{2,1,4,1,1} - 10752S_{2,2,1,2,2} - 6656S_{2,2,1,3,1} - 10752S_{2,2,1,3,1} - 10755S_{2,2,1,3,1} - 10755S_{2,2,1,3,$ $+3072S_{2,2,2,1,2}+3072S_{2,2,2,2,1}-5632S_{2,2,3,1,1}+3072S_{2,3,1,1,2}+3072S_{2,3,1,2,1}+3072S_{2,3,2,1,1}$ $+3072S_{2,4,1,1,1}+3072S_{3,1,1,2,2}-4096S_{3,1,1,3,1}+3072S_{3,1,2,1,2}+3072S_{3,1,2,2,1}-2560S_{3,1,3,1,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}-2000S_{3,1,3,1,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,1}+1000S_{3,1,2,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+1000S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,1,2,2}+100S_{3,2,2}+100S$ $+3072S_{3,2,1,1,2}+3072S_{3,2,1,2,1}+3072S_{3,2,2,1,1}+4608S_{3,3,1,1,1}+3072S_{4,1,1,1,2}+3072S_{4,1,1,2,1}$ $+3072S_{4,1,2,1,1} + 3072S_{4,2,1,1,1} + 3072S_{5,1,1,1,1} + 16384S_{1,1,1,1,3,2} + 32768S_{1,1,1,1,4,1}$ $+8192S_{1,1,1,2,3,1}+4096S_{1,1,1,3,1,2}+4096S_{1,1,1,3,2,1}+20480S_{1,1,1,4,1,1}+8192S_{1,1,2,1,3,1}$ $+ 12288S_{1,1,2,3,1,1} + 8192S_{1,2,1,1,3,1} + 12288S_{1,2,1,3,1,1} + 8192S_{2,1,1,1,3,1} + 12288S_{2,1,1,3,1,1} + 12288S_{2,1,1,1,3,1} + 12288S_{2,1,1,1,3,1} + 12288S_{2,1,1,1,3,1} + 12288S_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$ $-16384S_{1,1,1,1,3,1,1} + \zeta_{3} \left(896S_{6} - 2304S_{1,5} - 1792S_{2,4} - 768S_{3,3} - 1792S_{4,2} - 2304S_{5,1} + 1792S_{4,2} + 1792S_{4,2} - 2304S_{5,1} + 1792S_{4,2} + 1792S_{4,2}$ $+2560S_{1,1,4} + 512S_{1,2,3} + 1536S_{1,3,2} + 3584S_{1,4,1} + 512S_{2,1,3} + 1536S_{2,3,1} + 512S_{3,1,2}$ $+512S_{3,2,1}+2560S_{4,1,1}-2048S_{1,1,3,1}-2048S_{1,3,1,1})+1280\zeta_5(S_{1,3}+S_{3,1}-S_4)$

 γ_5

Correct asymptotics: leading (cusp anomaly) subleading (virtual function)

Analytical continuation (no BFKL!) pole structure agrees with conjecture [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin, 07]

The full result is reciprocity respecting

 $\gamma^{\text{wrapping}} = -64 g^{10} S_1^2 (35\zeta_7 - 40S_2\zeta_5 + (-8S_4 + 16S_{2,2})\zeta_3)$ $+2S_7 - 4S_{2,5} - 2S_{3,4} - 4S_{4,3} - 2S_{6,1} + 8S_{2,2,3} + 4S_{3,3,1}$

[Beccaria, VF, Lukowski, Zieme 09]

Conclusive remarks

- Reciprocity: empirical evidence of N=4 SYM at weak coupling, built-in property of Bethe equations and of the known examples of wrapping corrs.
- Strong evidence also at strong coupling.
- ► Guiding principle to get closed formulas for anomalous dimensions.
- ► Not strictly related to the planar limit, or to SUSY (QCD!)

Outlook 1: Strings and further 1/S orders, generalizations to (S,J) [work in progress!]

Outlook 2: Connection with Amplitudes/or? in N=4 SYM

$$\log \mathcal{A} = \frac{f(\lambda)}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{g(\lambda)}{\epsilon} + \frac{g(\lambda)}{\epsilon}$$

Look at other IR safe quantities.

[work in progress!]